1. Site Name: Sauget Area Sites	2. WA #: 47-5Ne	50	3. State: 1	IL .			
EPA Re	egion V ARCS Con	tract Award	l Fee				
Summary Evaluation Rep	ort X	Perforn	nance Event	Report			
Contract or: E&E Contract Num			mber: 68-W8-0086				
Contractor Program Manager: Daniel Sewall			Phone: (312) 578-9243				
Project Officer (PO): Pat Vogtman			Phone: (312) 886-9553				
Contracting Officer (CO): Peggy Hendrixson			Phone: (312) 886-6581				
Work Assignment Manager (WAM): Leah Evison			Phone: (312) 886-4696				
Performance Period From: May 1, 1997 - October 31, 1997							
P	Performance Evaluatio	n Category					
X Overall Technical Perforn	nance	Prograi	n Managem	ent Evaluat	tion		
C	ontractor Performance	Evaluation					
Outstanding	Exceeded Expec	tations		Satisfacto	ory		
Marginally Satisfactory	Unsa	tisfactory					
Description of Activites:					- <del>.</del>		
During this performance period, the correlating to data acquisition and set up processing the Region 5, ORC, IEPA, and various countries.	reliminary data summar						
Overall Peformance Evaluation:  Although the work plan was the only de exceeded my expectation because of the project manager, and perseverence in fi	eir efficient use of time,	flexibility, exc	ellent comm	unication w	ith the		
Strengths/Weaknesses/Needed Improve	ments:	<del>-</del>					
see above							
Evaluator Signature:	(see original)		Date:				
Ev	aluation Criteria S	core Sheet					
Project Planning	5	X 4	3	2	1		
[Organizing (e.g. work plan developme	nt, data review); schedu	ling; budgeting					
The contractor developed the work plan were submitted immediately. Budgetting		•		eeded. The	revisions		
Technical Competence & Innovation	5	X 4	3	2	1		

[Effectiveness of analysis; Meet plan goals; Expert testin and procedures; Approach creativity/ingenuity]	nony; Suppo	ort COE/Sta	te/Enforcen	nent; Adher	e to Regs				
Although no technical deviverables have been submitted in devising plans to represent the needed data, which are				gh level of c	reativity				
Schedule and Cost Control	5	4	X 3	2	1				
[Budget (hours & costs) maintenance; Priority schedule	adjustments	; Cost minir	nization]						
The contractor's budget and schedule are within planned limits. Travel has been kept to a minimum by combining trips for various purposes.									
Reporting	X 5	4	3	2	1				
[Timeliness of deliverables; Clarity; Thoroughness]									
No written deliverables have yet been submitted; however, the contractor has been exceptionally good at keeping a high level of communication with both the work assignment manager at U.S. EPA and the Project Manager for these State lead sites. The contractor frequently calls to report progress and check details of planned deliverables and this is much appreciated by the WAM.									
Resource Utilization	5	4	X 3	2	1				
Resource Utilization  [Staffing; Subcontracting; Equipment; Travel, etc.]	5	4		2	1				
			work assig	nment to da	l ate.				
[Staffing; Subcontracting; Equipment; Travel, etc.]  The contractor has used adequate and competent staff for			work assig	nment to da	ate.				
[Staffing; Subcontracting; Equipment; Travel, etc.]  The contractor has used adequate and competent staff for Travel has been within the bounds of the work plan. No	subcontract	ing or equip	work assignment have	nment to da been used.	ate.				
[Staffing; Subcontracting; Equipment; Travel, etc.]  The contractor has used adequate and competent staff for Travel has been within the bounds of the work plan. No Effort	5 ation (e.g. acoth the U.S. where the V	X 4  dverse/dang  EPA WAM WAM is not	work assignment have  3 erous condinand the Stain the lead	enment to date been used.  2  tions)	1 nanager				
[Staffing; Subcontracting; Equipment; Travel, etc.]  The contractor has used adequate and competent staff for Travel has been within the bounds of the work plan. No Effort  [Responsiveness; Mobilization; Day-to-day; Special situation of the contractor is extremely responsive to the needs of boand is clearly adept at dealing with this unusual situation	5 ation (e.g. acoth the U.S. where the V	X 4  dverse/dang  EPA WAM WAM is not	work assignment have  3 erous condinand the Stain the lead	enment to date been used.  2  tions)	1 nanager				
[Staffing; Subcontracting; Equipment; Travel, etc.]  The contractor has used adequate and competent staff for Travel has been within the bounds of the work plan. No Effort  [Responsiveness; Mobilization; Day-to-day; Special situation The contractor is extremely responsive to the needs of board is clearly adept at dealing with this unusual situation This takes a special level of cooperation and the contract	5 ation (e.g. acoth the U.S. where the Vor has done	X 4  dverse/dang  EPA WAM  WAM is not it very succ	work assignment have  3 erous condinand the Statin the lead essfully.	nment to da been used.  2 tions)  te project magency for the	1 nanager				